

Termination-checked Solidity-style smart contracts in Agda in the presence of Turing completeness

Fahad Alhabardi¹ and Anton Setzer²

^{1,2}Swansea University, Blockchain Lab, Dept. of Computer Science, UK

Types 2024

IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

June 10, 2024



Anton Setzer



Termination-checked Solidity-style smart contracts in Agda in the presence of Turing completeness

- J.w.w. Fahad Alhabardi
 - 552 p. PhD thesis expected soon to become public
- **Smart contract** = **program** placed on the blockchain which is **automatically executed** when conditions in the blockchains are satisfied [10].
- Topic of this talk are
 - **Smart Contracts** of the cryptocurrency **Ethereum**
 - written in the **object-oriented** language **Solidity**
 - which is **Turing complete**
 - and how to deal with the **termination** problem.
- Based on the **model of objects** using coalgebras in Agda [1].

Table of Contents

- 1 Background
- 2 Model of Smart Contracts in Agda
- 3 Execution of Smart Contracts
- 4 Conclusion

1 Background

2 Model of Smart Contracts in Agda

3 Execution of Smart Contracts

4 Conclusion

- **Ethereum** = A **second-generation Blockchain** [9].
- Main difference to Bitcoin is in the use of smart contracts:
 - Bitcoin Smart Contracts (Bitcoin Script)
 - ★ no loops, which guarantees termination,
 - ★ functionality as smart contract language very limited, many say not enough to call it Smart Contract.
 - Ethereum [11]:
 - ★ **Turing complete** language which includes **loops**;
 - ★ allows **calls to other contracts**;
 - ★ problem that validators need to execute smart contracts without knowing whether they terminate;
 - ★ Ethereum solves this by adding a **cost of execution of instructions** (gas) to guarantee termination.
- Recent switch from **proof of work** to **proof of stake** [7], **solving the waste of energy problem** for Ethereum.

Smart Contracts

- Smart contracts are **immutable programs** [5].
- Smart contracts in the cryptocurrency Ethereum are usually written in the high-level language **Solidity** [8] which compiles into the low-level **Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM)** [6].
- Ethereum is a **World State Machine** with essentially immutable history.
- **Example applications:**
 - **Non-monetary** applications
 - ★ Tracing of goods (e.g. tracing organic apples in super market through intermediate vendors to farmers)
 - ★ Electronic voting,
 - **Monetary** applications
 - ★ investment funds (DAO).
- Because of **immutability**, **high monetary impact**, and **shortness of programs**, **prime candidate for verification**.

- Blockchain is roughly speaking a **data base** which **determines for each address its current state** (amount of money, other data, smart contracts).
- In Ethereum **smart contracts = objects deployed to addresses**, with methods (called **functions**), which can be called by
 - non-smart-contract accounts (called externally-owned)
 - other smart contracts.

Toy example (Solidity)

```
1  contract Test1 {
2      Test2 test2;
3      // code for setting test2 omitted.
4
5      function f (int n) public view returns (int){
6          return test2.g(n);
7      }
8  }
9
10 contract Test2{
11     Test1 test1;
12     // code for setting test1 omitted.
13
14     function g (int n) public view returns (int){
15         if (n > 0) {return test1.f(n - 1);}
16         else      {return 0;}
17     }
18 }
```


- **Previous work:**

- Verification of Bitcoin smart contracts using **weakest preconditions** of Hoare logic for **access control** [4, 2] in **Agda**.
- Introduction of a **simple model** [3] of **Solidity-style smart contracts** which doesn't involve gas.

- **This Talk:**

- Addition of **gas cost** to solve the **termination problem** (modeling implementation in Ethereum).
- Resulting code **termination checks** in Agda.

- **Goal of the Project:**

- Verify Solidity style smart contracts using **weakest precondition semantics**.

1 Background

2 Model of Smart Contracts in Agda

3 Execution of Smart Contracts

4 Conclusion

Messages

- In Solidity functions have arguments and result types originating from a rich type structure.
- The EVM is untyped, and uses **serialised** arguments and return values.
- In our model, we abstract from this encoding by defining a **message data type**:

```
data Msg : Set where nat : (n : ℕ) → Msg
                  list  : (l : List Msg) → Msg
```

- This data type allows to represent elements of data types such as **lists** (called arrays), finite **maps**, **enumerations**, **integers**.

Programs (SmartContractExec)

The body of a function is represented as an element of `SmartContractExec`:

```
data SmartContractExec (A : Set) : Set where
  return : A → (gascost : ℕ) → SmartContractExec A
  error  : errorMsg → DebugInfo
         → SmartContractExec A
  exec   : (c : CCommands)
         → (cont : CResponse c → SmartContractExec A)
         → (gascostCont : CResponse c → ℕ)
         → SmartContractExec A
```

Contract

```
record Contract : Set where
  field
    amount : Amount
    fun : FunctionName → Msg → SmartContractExec Msg
    viewFunction : FunctionName → Msg → MsgOnError
    viewFunctionCost : FunctionName → Msg → ℕ
```

Which includes the fields:

- The balance of a contract (**amount**),
- its functions (**fun**);
- its view functions (**viewFunction**);
- the estimated gas cost for executing a view function (**viewFunctionCost**).

Normal functions can modify **view functions**.

We use **view functions** to represent **variables**.

- The state of a ledger determines for any address function name and msg argument the smart contract function to be executed:

$\text{Ledger} = \text{Address} \rightarrow \text{Contract}$

- Strictly speaking the **Ledger** should be called **LedgerState**, since it excludes the history of the ledger.
 - Execution of a smart contracts depends only on the current state of the ledger.

- 1 Background
- 2 Model of Smart Contracts in Agda
- 3 Execution of Smart Contracts**
- 4 Conclusion

Intermediate State of Execution

```
record StateExecFun : Set where
field ledger          : Ledger
    executionStack    : ExecutionStack
    initialAddr lastCallAddr calledAddr : Address
    nextstep          : SmartContractExec Msg
    gasLeft           : ℕ
    funNameevalState : FunctionName
    msgevalState      : Msg
```

The state of the execution (`StateExecFun`) include the following fields:

- The ledger;
- the execution stack (`executionStack`);
- the initial address that initiated the current sequence (`initialAddr`);
- the last called made (`lastCallAddr`);

State Execution Function (Cont.)

- the address which is called (`calledAddr`);
- the current code to be executed (`nextstep`);
- the gas left (`gasLeft`);
- two extra fields that we use with debug information:
`funcNameexecStackE` and `msgexecStackEI`.

Execution Stack Element

- The elements of `ExecutionStack` are given by

```
record ExecStackEl : Set where
  field lastCallAddress calledAddress : Address
        continuation      : Msg → SmartContractExec Msg
        costCont           : Msg → ℕ
        funcNameexecStackEl : FunctionName
        msgexecStackEl     : Msg
```

with the following fields:

- The address that made the last call (`lastCallAddress`);
- the address that was called (`calledAddress`);
- `continuation` which determines the next execution step to be executed depending on the message returned after the call to the function has been completed;
- `funcNameexecStackEl` which is the last function called and the argument of the last function call (`msgexecStackEl`).

Evaluation of Function Calls

`evaluateTerminatingfinal` :

`Ledger`

→ (*initialAddr lastCallAddr calledAddr*: `Address`)

→ (*funName* : `FunctionName`)

→ (*msg* : `Msg`)

→ (*gaslimit* : \mathbb{N})

→ `Ledger` × `MsgOrElseWithGas`

- Evaluates a call
 - from ***lastCallAddr***
 - to function ***funName*** applied to ***msg*** in contract ***calledAddr***
 - using gas limit ***gaslimit***
 - assuming the chain of calls was initiated from externally owned account ***initialAddr***
- `evaluateTerminatingfinal` termination checks in Agda.

- 1 Background
- 2 Model of Smart Contracts in Agda
- 3 Execution of Smart Contracts
- 4 Conclusion**

Conclusion

- Solidity is **object oriented** and functions can call each other mutually.
- Use of **gas** to **guarantee termination**.
- Development of a **model** in Agda which allows to execute smart contract functions.
- **Gas cost** is added as **explicit parameters** to commands.
- Execution of smart contracts **termination checks** in **Agda**.
- Development of a **simulator**, which allows to simulate Ethereum including smart contracts interactively in Agda.
- Aim is to use **weakest precondition semantics** to verify Solidity smart contracts.

Thank you for listening.

- [1] Andreas Abel, Stephan Adelsberger, and Anton Setzer.
Interactive programming in Agda – Objects and graphical user interfaces.
Journal of Functional Programming, 27:e8, Jan 2017.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956796816000319>.
doi:10.1017/S0956796816000319.
- [2] Fahad Alhabardi, Bogdan Lazar, and Anton Setzer.
Verifying correctness of smart contracts with conditionals.
In *2022 IEEE 1st Global Emerging Technology Blockchain Forum: Blockchain & Beyond (iGETblockchain)*, pages 1–6, 2022.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.1109/iGETblockchain56591.2022.10087054>.
- [3] Fahad Alhabardi and Anton Setzer.
A simple model of smart contracts in Agda, January 2023.
In *Abstracts for Types 2023*.
URL: <https://types2023.webs.upv.es/TYPES2023.pdf>.

- [4] Fahad F. Alhabardi, Arnold Beckmann, Bogdan Lazar, and Anton Setzer.
Verification of Bitcoin Script in Agda Using Weakest Preconditions for Access Control.
In *27th International Conference on Types for Proofs and Programs (TYPES 2021)*, volume 239 of *LIPICs*, pages 1:1–1:25, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2022. Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPICs.TYPES.2021.1>.
- [5] Nicola Atzei, Massimo Bartoletti, and Tiziana Cimoli.
A Survey of Attacks on Ethereum Smart Contracts (SoK).
In *Principles of Security and Trust*, pages 164–186, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2017. Springer.
doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-54455-6_8.
- [6] Vitalik Buterin.
Ethereum: A next-generation smart contract and decentralized application platform, 2014.
Available from <https://ethereum.org/en/whitepaper>.

- [7] Ethereum community.
Proof-of-Stake (POS), Retrieved 03 May 2023.
Available from <https://ethereum.org/en/developers/docs/consensus-mechanisms/pos/>.
- [8] Ethereum Community.
Solidity documentation, Retrieved 15 April 2023.
Available from <https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.16/>.
- [9] Han-Min Kim, Gee-Woo Bock, and Gunwoong Lee.
Predicting ethereum prices with machine learning based on
blockchain information.
Expert Systems with Applications, 184:115480, 2021.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115480>.

[10] Loi Luu, Duc-Hiep Chu, Hrishi Olickel, Prateek Saxena, and Aquinas Hobor.

Making Smart Contracts Smarter.

In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security, CCS '16*, page 254–269, New York, NY, USA, 2016. Association for Computing Machinery.

doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2976749.2978309>.

[11] Dejan Vujičić, Dijana Jagodić, and Siniša Randić.

Blockchain technology, Bitcoin, and Ethereum: A brief overview.

In *2018 17th International Symposium INFOTEH-JAHORINA (INFOTEH)*, pages 1–6, 2018.

doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/INFOTEH.2018.8345547>.